1 2 3 4 5	PHILIP B. BASS GEOFFREY M. FAUST STEVEN B. SACKS TITCHELL, MALTZMAN, MARK, BASS, OHLEYER & MISHEL A Professional Corporation 650 California Street, 29th Floor San Francisco, California 94108 (415) 392-5600 JUL 13 1984			
6	Attorneys for Defendants DONALD W. DICKINSON, Clerk			
7	Haig Berberian, Haig Berberian Corporation, Isabel Berberian, Deputy Clerk			
8	Isabel Berberian Corporation, Berberian Orchards, and			
9	Sexton Nut Processors, Inc. Superior Court of California 179147			
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA $179/4c$			
11	COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO			
12				
13	RICHARD BERBERIAN,)			
14) No. 813484 Plaintiff,			
15) ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED vs. COMPLAINT			
16	WELLS FARGO BANK, et al.,			
17	Defendants.			
18				
19	Defendants Haig Berberian, Haig Berberian Corporation,			
20	Isabel Berberian, Isabel Berberian Corporation, Berberian			
21	Orchards, and Sexton Nut Processors, Inc. answer the unverified			
22	second amended complaint of Richard Berberian as follows:			
23	1. Defendants deny each and every allegation of			
24	plaintiff's second amended complaint.			
25	//			
26	//			
f				

1	
2	
3	en e
4	
5	
7	
8	
9	1
10	
11	
12	٠
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18 19	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

FTRST	AFFIRMATIVE	DEFENSE
T T T/D T	214	

2. The complaint and each cause of action in the complaint fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

3. Each of the purported causes of action in the complaint is barred by one or more of the applicable statutes of limitation including without limitation California Code of Civil Procedure \$\$336, 337, 338, 339, 340, and 343.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

4. Plaintiff has no standing to assert the claims for relief pleaded in each cause of action in the complaint.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

5. The complaint and each cause of action in the complaint is barred by the laches of plaintiff and/or his predecessor(s) in interest.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

6. The complaint and each cause of action in the complaint is barred by the unclean hands of plaintiff and/or plaintiff's predecessor(s) in interest.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

7. The terms of the trust sued upon exonerate defendants and each of them from the claims for relief alleged in the complaint and each cause of action in the complaint.

//

11

TITCHELL, MALTZMAN, MARK,
BASS, OHLEYER & MISHEL
A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
29 TH FLOOR
650 CALIFORNIA STREET
SAN FRANCISCO 94108
TELEPRON 392-3500

26



1

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

2

8. Plaintiff lacks the capacity to assert the claims for relief pleaded in each cause of action in the complaint.

3

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendants and each of them acted at all times in

6

good faith in dealing with the trust that is the subject of this lawsuit, thus barring the claims for relief pleaded in each

7 8

cause of action of the complaint.

12.

complaint.

NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

10

10. By plaintiff's conduct and representations, and by the conduct and representations of plaintiff's predecessor(s) ain interest, plaintiff has waived any right to the relief sought In each cause of action in the complaint.

11

TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

17

11. By plaintiff's conduct and representations, and by the conduct and representations of plaintiff's predecessor(s) in interest, plaintiff is estopped from asserting the claims for relief pleaded in each cause of action in the complaint.

18 19

ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

20

By plaintiff's conduct and representations, and by the conduct and representations of plaintiff's predecessor(s)

21 22

in interest, plaintiff has ratified the actions complained of,

23

thus barring the relief sought in each cause of action in the

24

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

26

25

By plaintiff's conduct and representations, and

by the conduct and representations of plaintiff's predecessor(s) 1 in interest, plaintiff has consented to the actions complained 2 of, thus barring the relief sought in each cause of action in 3 the complaint. 5 THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 14. The complaint, and each cause of action in the 7 complaint, is barred by res judicata. 8 FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 9 15. The complaint, and each cause of action in the 10 complaint, is barred by an accord and satisfaction between the 11 parties to this action. 12 FIFTEEN AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 13 Plaintiff has failed to take reasonable steps to 14 mitigate the damages, losses, and injuries alleged in each cause 15 of action in the complaint. If plaintiff had taken such 16 reasonable steps, plaintiff would have suffered no such damages, 17 losses, or injuries whatsoever (if any there were). SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

17. The complaint and each cause of action in it is barred by a compromise between the parties to this action.

SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

18. The complaint and each cause of action in it is barred by a settlement between the parties to this action.

EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

19. Plaintiff was careless, negligent, and guilty of willful misconduct in and about the matters referred to in said

TITCHELL, MALTZMAN, MARK BASS, OHLEYER & MISHEL A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION ATTORNEYS AT LAW 28th FLOOR 630 CALIFORNIA STREET SAN FRANCISCO BAIOS TELEPHONE 382-3800

23

24

25

26

complaint, and such carelessness, negligence, and willful 2 misconduct on the part of plaintiff proximately caused and contributed to the damages complained of (if any there were). 3 NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 20. 5 Should the court determine that defendants were liable to plaintiff for damages, the amount of said liability 6 7 must be reduced by the percentage of liability attributable to 8 plaintiff. TWENTIETH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE 10 21. The injuries sustained by plaintiff (if any there 11 were) were in whole or in part negligently caused by persons, 12 firms, corporations, or entities other than the answering 13 defendants, and said negligence reduces the percentage of 14 negligence, if any, attributable to the answering defendants. 15 WHEREFORE, defendants pray that the complaint be 16 dismissed and plaintiff take nothing by this action, and that 17 defendants be awarded costs and all other just relief. 18 TITCHELL, MALTZMAN, MARK, BASS, OHLEYER & MISHEL 19 A Professional Corporation 20 21 Geoffrey M. Attorneys for Defendants Haig 22 Berberian, Haiq Berberian Corporation, Isabel Berberian, 23 Isabel Berberian Corporation, Berberian Orchards, and Sexton Nut 24 Processors, Inc. 25 26

TITCHELL, MALTZMAN, MARK, BASS, OHLEYER & MISHEL A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION ATTORNEYS AT LAW 29TH FLOOR 850 CALIFORNIA STREET SAN FRANCISCO 94108

S06317.35

PERIOR CONTROL OF THE PERIOR CONTROL OF THE

1

PROOF OF SERVICE BY MAIL

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

I am employed in the City and County of San Francisco, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen years and am not a party to the within-entitled cause. My business address is 650 California Street, 29th Floor, San Francisco, California On July 13, 1984 I served the attached ANSWER TO SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT on the interested parties in said cause, by placing true copies thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope with first-class postage thereon fully prepaid, in the United States mail at San Francisco, California addressed as follows:

Robert B. Pringle Thelen, Marrin, Johnson & Bridges Two Embarcadero Center San Francisco, CA

Laurence E. Drivon 215 N. San Joaquin

Stockton, CA 95202

I declare that:

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration was executed on July 13, 1984 at San Francisco, California.

The Audson

